

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:09-cr-309
	)	
v.	)	Honorable Paul L. Maloney
	)	
OSCAR DOMINGO JUAN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

---

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on November 25, 2009, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Oscar Domingo Juan entered a plea of guilty to count 4 of the Indictment charging him with false representation of a Social Security number, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 408(a)(7)(B). There was no written or oral plea agreement, except that the government shall move for dismissal of counts 1, 2 and 3 at sentencing. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to count 4 of the Indictment be accepted and that the court adjudicate defendant guilty of the charge. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Dated: November 25, 2009

/s/ Joseph G. Scoville  
\_\_\_\_\_  
U.S. Magistrate Judge

### **NOTICE TO PARTIES**

You have the right to de novo review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d). A failure to file timely objections may result in the waiver of any further right to seek appellate review of the plea-taking procedure. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Branch*, 537 F.3d 582, 587 (6th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 129 S. Ct. 752 (2008); *Frontier Ins. Co. v. Blaty*, 454 F.3d 590, 596-97 (6th Cir. 2006). General objections do not suffice. *Spencer v. Bouchard*, 449 F.3d 721, 724-25 (6th Cir. 2006); *see Frontier*, 454 F.3d at 596-97; *McClanahan v. Comm'r of Social Security*, 474 F.3d 830, 837 (6th Cir. 2006).